

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,670	01/22/2001	Shu Murayama	0649-0769P-SP 6398	
7	590 09/06/2005	EXAMINER		
BIRCH, STE	WART, KOLASCH &	LEE, CH	LEE, CHI HO A	
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2663	

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	
	/	
ĸ		
J		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/765,670	MURAYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Lee	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
. 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ne 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,7 and 10-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-6, 8, 9, is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange replacement or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 13. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 14. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 15. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 16. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 17. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 18. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner is objected to by the Examiner is objected to be a content in the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be a content in the oath of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/05.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/765,670

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 2, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Obikane U.S. Patent Number 6,404,818.

Re Claim 2, fig. 12 teaches a Video encoder (a coding section) coding plurality of SvL data (a plurality of media data) to output S21 respectively; Multiplexer 29 for Multiplexing 29 and Packetizer 21B for packeting the output S21 and generating plurality PES packetized coded video data (a plurality of packet springs) and outputting form 29 S41 (See col. 8, lines 30-55); further teaches the Packetizer 21B (a parameter setting section) for selectively adding parameter information (a parameter) to the multiplexed packet string (See col. 10, lines 3-28) wherein the Packetizer 21B (a video signal dividing circuit) for dividing a video signal into plurality of PES packets; further included a Encoding Control unit 26 (a control circuit) for controlling each video encoding units 21-24.

Re Claim 7, refer to Claim 2, wherein the wherein the PES packet includes PTS parameter indicating the presentation time stamp (clock reproduction information) for synchronization (See col. 8, lines 40-43).

3. Claims 1, 10, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al U.S. Patent Number 6,167,084.

Re Claims 1, 10, 14, fig. 4 teaches a MPEG Encoder 620 (a coding section) for outputting a plurality of coded media data; MUX 660 for packeting the plurality of coded media data output from 620 wherein the a plurality of packet strings are multiplexed with other MPEG encoders 630~650 packet strings; further teaches Rate Control Processor (a rate setting section) for monitoring a Buffer Fullness signal from Encoder Buffer 670 to selectively regulate the encoding parameter in the Encoder wherein the Buffer Fullness signal is based on after the multiplexing has occurred (See col. 8, lines 25 +).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al U.S. Patent Number 6,167,084.

Re Claims 11-13, Obikane teaches the parameters conform to MPEG2 encoding scheme. Wang fails to explicitly teach conforming to MPEG4, H.223, and H.225. However, these standards are readily available industry standards from ITU

Application/Control Number: 09/765,670

Art Unit: 2663

organization. Hence, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify parameter setting to any available industry standards so that the system can be utilized for devices compatible with these standards.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-6, and 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In combination with claims 2 and 4, prior art fails to if no signals is input from any other media data coding and multiplexing apparatuses, the parameter setting section sets only a parameter in the output multiplex stream not requiring continuity.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re Claim 2, "parameter setting....after multiplexing has occurred" is not required or claimed.

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,670

Art Unit: 2663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

AI 8/30/05